

## Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

**The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.**

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:

- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHDP Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all CoC project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHDP Renewal Project Listing (All Rounds); and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition)

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

**Collaborative Applicant Name:** North Dakota Housing Finance Agency

## 2. Reallocation

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition)

**2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2025 into one or more new projects?** Yes

### Alert:

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- CoCs may reallocate YHDPs project from any Round to create new YHDP projects, so long as the project eliminated or reduced has renewed during the CoC Program Competition at least once.

Reallocated YHDP funding can ONLY be used to create new YHDP projects.

- If a CoC reallocates funding from a renewal project that was previously awarded DV Bonus funding, any new project created with such funding must be 100 percent dedicated to serving individuals and families of persons experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking who qualify under the definition of homeless at 24 CFR 578.3 or section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

### 3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC, DV Renewal or YHDP renewal project funds to create new project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible projects.

CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC, YHDP and DV Renewal projects must identify the funding source for those projects on this form.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

<b>Amount Available for New CoC Projects:</b> (Sum of All Eliminated CoC Renewal Projects)				
\$0				
<b>Amount Available for New YHDP Projects:</b> (Sum of All Eliminated YHDP Restricted Projects)				
\$132,498				
<b>Amount Available for New DV Projects:</b> (Sum of All Eliminated DV Restricted Projects)				
\$0				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount
Fraser, Ltd. Rapi...	ND0066Y8T002301	JOINT	YHDP Renewal	\$132,498

### 3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2024 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2024 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. [https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

**3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.**

**Eliminated Project Name:** Fraser, Ltd. Rapid Re-Housing  
**Grant Number of Eliminated Project:** ND0066Y8T002301  
**Eliminated Project Component Type:** JOINT  
**Funding Type:** YHDP Renewal  
**Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount:** \$132,498

**3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 2500 characters)**

The Rating and Ranking Committee reviewed all project applications. The reallocated project has had some issues with eLOCCS and not spending the full amount of their YHDP grant over the two year grant term, as well as continuing to have open findings with HUD on the regular CoC grant for the past 16 months. The project was notified on October 8, 2024. The project appealed the Committee's decision on October 11, 2024. The Committee met again on October 17, 2024 and upheld their decision. The agency's appeal letter and the CoC's response are attached to this application.

## 4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal and YHDP Renewal project funds to create new project applications – as detailed in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs reducing eligible renewal projects must identify the funding source for those projects on this form.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced CoC Projects)							
Amount available for New YHDP Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced YHDP Projects)							
Amount available for New DV Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced DV Projects)							
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for YHDP Project	Amount available for DV Project	Amount available for New Project
This list contains no items							

## Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

**Instructions:**

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD’s website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. [https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

**WARNING:** If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC’s Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	PH/Realloc	Rank	PSH/RRH	Expansion
SAAF Campus	2024-10-18 15:57:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	Melandie Deplazes	\$351,046	1 Year	DV Bonus	D15		
RASHP - Expansion	2024-10-10 09:42:...	PH	Fargo Housing and...	\$150,000	1 Year	Reallocation + Co...	E17	PSH	Yes
United Way's Rapi...	2024-10-22 13:43:...	PH	Missouri Slope Ar...	\$106,402	1 Year	Reallocation + Co...	18	RRH	

Families First - RRH	2024-10- 21 16:41:...	PH	United Way of Gra...	\$100,000	1 Year	CoC Bonus	16	RRH	
----------------------------	-----------------------------	----	----------------------------	-----------	--------	--------------	----	-----	--



## Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

### Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	<input type="checkbox"/>

**WARNING:** If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank s	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type	Expansion Type
ND HMIS Project 2024	2024-10-22 14:24:...	1 Year	Institute for Com...	\$220,944	1		HMIS		
Bonnie's FY2024	2024-10-10 13:20:...	1 Year	Abused Adult Reso...	\$4,845	10	PSH	PH		
DZ Hope House Tra...	2024-10-10 13:24:...	1 Year	Abused Adult Reso...	\$77,500	12		TH		
Scattered Site PS...	2024-10-21 17:55:...	1 Year	Grand Forks Housi...	\$207,378	14	PSH	PH		
ND CE SSO Renewal. ..	2024-10-22 14:26:...	1 Year	Institute for Com...	\$75,000	2		SSO		
YWCA Cass Clay DV...	2024-10-15 10:38:...	1 Year	YWCA Cass Clay	\$350,952	3		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Fraser, Ltd. Perm...	2024-09-06 13:53:...	1 Year	Fraser, Ltd.	\$125,686	4	PSH	PH		
YWCA Cass Clay SH...	2024-10-15 10:36:...	1 Year	YWCA Cass Clay	\$140,990	5	PSH	PH		
YWCA Cass Clay Tr...	2024-10-15 10:33:...	1 Year	YWCA Cass Clay	\$215,500	6		TH		
Youthwo rks Joint ...	2024-10-16 14:23:...	1 Year	Mountain Plains Y...	\$98,208	7		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Prairie Harvest M...	2024-10-14 17:27:...	1 Year	Prairie Harvest M...	\$89,727	8	PSH	PH		
SW North Dakota S...	2024-10-09 14:50:...	1 Year	Women's Alliance, ..	\$36,500	9		TH		

Rapid Rehousing 2024	2024-10-23 10:47:...	1 Year	Abused Adult Reso...	\$196,111	C11	RRH	PH	Survivor	
RASHP Renewal FY2024	2024-10-10 09:36:...	1 Year	Fargo Housing and...	\$264,910	E13	PSH	PH		Expansion

## Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

### Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

**WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.**

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
ND-500 CoC Planni...	2024-10-21 14:31:...	1 Year	North Dakota Hous...	\$163,603	Yes

# Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

## Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. .

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications must not be ranked.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.

X

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

X

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.

**WARNING:** If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name s	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?	PSH/RRH	Consolidati on Type
Rapid Re-Housing	2024-09-06 17:57:...	Fraser, Ltd.	\$132,498	JOINT TH-RRH	1 Year	No		
Region 4 System N...	2024-10-22 18:23:...	Grand Forks Housi...	\$201,278	SSO	1 Year	Yes		
ND Renewal YHDP P...	2024-10-22 14:25:...	Institute for Com...	\$50,000	HMIS	1 Year	Yes		
Youthwork s YHDP T...	2024-10-18 12:26:...	Mountain Plains Y...	\$289,224	JOINT TH-RRH	1 Year	Yes		
Youthwork s YHDP Y...	2024-10-18 12:33:...	Mountain Plains Y...	\$100,000	SSO	1 Year	Yes		
PSND Homeless Con...	2024-10-23 13:19:...	Pathfinder Servic...	\$201,277	SSO	1 Year	Yes		

## Project Applicant Project Details

**Project Name:** Rapid Re-Housing  
**Project Number:** 219918  
**Date Submitted:** 2024-09-06 17:57:02.219  
**Applicant Name:** Fraser, Ltd.  
**Budget Amount:** \$132,498  
**Project Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Program Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Component Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Grant Term:** 1 Year  
**Priority Type:** Rejected

### Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

**Do you want to submit this project?** No  
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

**Reason for project rejection:** Project Reallocated

## Project Applicant Project Details

**Project Name:** Region 4 System Navigation FY 2024  
**Project Number:** 218604  
**Date Submitted:** 2024-10-22 18:23:17.935

**Applicant Name** Grand Forks Housing Authority  
**Budget Amount** \$201,278  
**Project Type** SSO  
**Program Type** SSO  
**Component Type** SSO  
**Grant Term** 1 Year  
**Priority Type** SSO

### Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

**Do you want to submit this project?** Yes  
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

## Project Applicant Project Details

**Project Name:** ND Renewal YHDP Project Application 2024  
**Project Number:** 220583  
**Date Submitted:** 2024-10-22 14:25:47.576  
**Applicant Name** Institute for Community Alliances  
**Budget Amount** \$50,000  
**Project Type** HMIS  
**Program Type** HMIS  
**Component Type** HMIS  
**Grant Term** 1 Year  
**Priority Type** HMIS

### Instructions



This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."  
If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

**Do you want to submit this project? Yes**  
**(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)**

## Project Applicant Project Details

**Project Name:** Youthworks YHDP TH-RRH 2024  
**Project Number:** 217352  
**Date Submitted:** 2024-10-18 12:26:52.081  
**Applicant Name:** Mountain Plains Youth Services Inc.  
**Budget Amount:** \$289,224  
**Project Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Program Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Component Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Grant Term:** 1 Year  
**Priority Type:** JOINT TH-RRH

### Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."  
If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

**Do you want to submit this project? Yes**  
**(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)**

## Project Applicant Project Details

**Project Name:** Youthworks YHDP Youth Homeless Navigation 2024  
**Project Number:** 217353  
**Date Submitted:** 2024-10-18 12:33:20.858  
**Applicant Name:** Mountain Plains Youth Services Inc.  
**Budget Amount:** \$100,000  
**Project Type:** SSO  
**Program Type:** SSO  
**Component Type:** SSO  
**Grant Term:** 1 Year  
**Priority Type:** SSO

### Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

**Do you want to submit this project?** Yes  
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

## Project Applicant Project Details

**Project Name:** PSND Homeless Connections  
**Project Number:** 224877

**Date Submitted:** 2024-10-23 13:19:36.971  
**Applicant Name** Pathfinder Services of ND, Inc.  
**Budget Amount** \$201,277  
**Project Type** SSO  
**Program Type** SSO  
**Component Type** SSO  
**Grant Term** 1 Year  
**Priority Type** SSO

### Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

**Do you want to submit this project?** Yes  
**(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)**

## Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Listing

**Instructions:**

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD’s website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project and YHDP Reallocation project applications, submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the projects simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 NOFO, YHDP Renewal, YHDP Reallocation and YHDP Replacement applications must not be ranked.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

**WARNING:** If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC’s Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Funding Type	Accepted?
Youthworks YHDP F...	2024-10-18 15:15:...	Mountain Plains Y...	\$132,498	JOINT TH-RRH	1 Year	YHDP Reallocation	Yes

## Project Applicant Project Details

**Project Name:** Youthworks YHDP Fargo TH RRH 2024  
**Project Number:** 220717  
**Date Submitted:** 2024-10-18 15:15:51.084  
**Applicant Name:** Mountain Plains Youth Services Inc.  
**Budget Amount:** \$132,498  
**Project Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Program Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Component Type:** JOINT TH-RRH  
**Grant Term:** 1 Year  
**Funding Type:** YHDP Reallocation  
**Priority Type:** JOINT TH-RRH

### Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Replacement project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Replacement project applications and new YHDP projects created through YHDP Reallocation are noncompetitive and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

**Do you want to submit this project?** Yes  
**(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)**

## Funding Summary

### Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked or rejected new and renewal project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
CoC Renewal Amount	\$2,104,251
New CoC Bonus and CoC Reallocation Amount	\$356,402
New DV Bonus Amount	\$351,046
New DV Reallocation Amount	\$0
CoC Planning Amount	\$163,603
YHDP Renewal and Replacement Amount	\$841,779
YHDP Reallocation Amount	\$132,498
Rejected Amount	\$132,498
<b>TOTAL CoC REQUEST</b>	<b>\$3,949,579</b>

## Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	Certification of ...	10/22/2024
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	Project Rating & ...	10/24/2024
Other	No	Fraser Appeal & C...	10/24/2024
Other	No	Rating & Ranking ...	10/24/2024

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Certification of Consistency of the Consolidated Plan

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Project Rating & Ranking Tool

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Fraser Appeal & CoC Response Letters

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Rating & Ranking Recommendation - Approved



## Submission Summary

**WARNING: The FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of CoC Priority Listings AND the CoC Application.**

**As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC and YHDP Program NOFO, for FY 2024 funding, CoCs must submit the FY 2024 - 2025 CoC Application and the FY 2024 Priority Listing by the FY 2024 Application Submission Deadline.**

**WARNING: The FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of CoC Priority Listings AND the CoC Application.**

**As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC and YHDP Program NOFO, for FY 2024 funding, CoCs must submit the FY 2024 - 2025 CoC Application and the FY 2024 Priority Listing by the FY 2024 Application Submission Deadline.**

Page	Last Updated
<b>Before Starting</b>	No Input Required
<b>1A. Identification</b>	10/21/2024
<b>2. Reallocation</b>	10/21/2024
<b>3. Grant(s) Eliminated</b>	10/24/2024
<b>4. Grant(s) Reduced</b>	No Input Required
<b>5A. CoC New Project Listing</b>	10/22/2024

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 25	10/25/2024
------------------------------	---------	------------

<b>5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing</b>	10/23/2024
<b>5D. CoC Planning Project Listing</b>	10/21/2024
<b>5E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing</b>	10/23/2024
<b>5F. YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing</b>	10/21/2024
<b>Funding Summary</b>	No Input Required
<b>Attachments</b>	10/24/2024
<b>Submission Summary</b>	No Input Required

**U.S. Department of Housing  
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan  
with the Consolidated Plan  
for the Continuum of Care  
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: \_\_\_\_\_


Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Location of the Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of  
Certifying Jurisdiction: \_\_\_\_\_

Certifying Official  
of the Jurisdiction Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature:  \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 10/21/2024

**Public reporting burden** for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

**Privacy Act Statement.** This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

## Instructions for completing the HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

The following information must be completed by the Continuum of Care's designated Collaborative Applicant. If the CoC has multiple projects, it may complete a single HUD-2991 for the jurisdiction provided the Collaborative Applicant includes a list of all projects with applicant names, project names, and locations that will be submitted to HUD with the form when forwarding to the jurisdiction for signature. If there are multiple jurisdictions located within a CoC's geographic area, it must obtain a signed HUD-2991 for each jurisdiction where projects are located.

Completed by the CoC's Collaborative Applicant:

**Applicant Name.** Enter the name of the project applicant's organization.

**Project Name.** Enter the name of the project application that will be submitted to HUD in the Continuum of Care Program Competition.

**Location of the Project.** Enter the physical address of the project; however, if the project is designated as a domestic violence project, enter a P.O. Box or address of the main administrative office provided it is not the same address as the project.

**Name of Certifying Jurisdiction.** Enter the name of jurisdiction that will review the project information and certify consistency with the Consolidated Plan (e.g., City of..., County, State).

Must be completed by the certifying jurisdiction.

**Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction.** Enter the name of the official who will sign the form.

**Title.** Enter the official title of the certifying official (e.g., mayor, county judge, state official).

**Signature.** The certifying official is to sign the form.

**Date.** Enter the date the certifying official signs the form.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Location
Abused Adult Resource Center	Bonnie's FY2023	PO Box 5003, Bismarck, ND 58502
Abused Adult Resource Center	Hope Stability Project Renewal FY 23	PO Box 5003, Bismarck, ND 58502
Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing AARC FY2023	PO Box 5003, Bismarck, ND 58502
Fargo Housing & Redevelopment Authority	RASHP - Expansion	325 Broadway, Fargo, ND 58102
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP SHP 2021 Renewal c 2022	325 Broadway, Fargo, ND 58102
Fraser, Ltd.	Fraser, Ltd. Permanent Supportive Housing	2902 S University Dr, Fargo, ND 58103
Grand Forks Housing Authority	Region 4 System Navigation	1405 1st Ave N, Grand Forks, ND 58203
Grand Forks Housing Authority	Scattered Site PSH Support Services FY2023	1405 1st Ave N, Grand Forks, ND 58203
Institute for Community Alliances	ND CE SSO New Project FFY2023	1111 9th St, Ste 380, Des Moines, IA 50314
Institute for Community Alliances	ND HMIS Project FY2023	1111 9th St, Ste 380, Des Moines, IA 50314
Institute for Community Alliances	ND Renewal YHDP Project Application FY2023	1111 9th St, Ste 380, Des Moines, IA 50314
Missouri Slope Areawide United Way	Center for Opportunity	515 N 4th St, Bismarck, ND 58501
Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks Joint PH-RRH and TH 2023	217 W Rosser Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501
Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Fargo TH RRH 2024	217 W Rosser Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501
Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Joint TH-RRH	217 W Rosser Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501
Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Navigation and SSO	217 W Rosser Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501
Pathfinder Services of ND, LLC	Pathways to Success	1412 2nd Ave SW, Ste 6, Minot, ND 58701
Prairie Harvest Mental Health	Prairie Harvest Mental Health Supportive Housing ProgramFY2023	930 3rd St N, Grand Forks, ND 58203-2408
Safe Alternatives for Abused Families	SAAF Campus	1013 N 5th St, Grand Forks, ND 58203
United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First	4650 38th Ave S, Ste 110, Fargo, ND 58104
Women's Alliance, Inc. DBA: Domestic Violence and Rape Crisi	SW North Dakota Supportive Housing Project	PO Box 1081, Dickinson, ND 58601
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay DV FY2023	4650 38th Ave S, Ste 110, Fargo, ND 58104
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay SHP Consolidated FY2023	4650 38th Ave S, Ste 110, Fargo, ND 58104
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay Transitional Housing (TH) FY2023	4650 38th Ave S, Ste 110, Fargo, ND 58104

**Application Information**

<b>Applicant Name</b>
<b>Funding Type (1A)</b>
<b>Name of Project (1D)</b>
<b>Amount Requested (1G)</b>
<b>Consolidate/Expand (1K)</b>
<b>Project Type (3A)</b>
<b>100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)</b>
<b>Units/Beds (4B)</b>
<b>Primary Contact</b>
<b>Email Address</b>
<b>Phone Number</b>
<b>UIE Number</b>

**Overview of Scoring - PSH**

Summary of Factors			Possible Points	Reviewer's Score
<b>Agency Wide Scoring</b>			<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>
Equity			6	0
Client Participation in Project Design			5	0
CoC Participation			12	0
<b>Project-Specific Scoring</b>			<b>97</b>	<b>0</b>
Project Performance			32	0
Housing First			15	0
Project Design			20	0
Spending History and Monitoring			11	0
HMIS and Data Quality			13	0
Coordinated Entry			6	0
<b>Total Possible Points</b>			<b>120</b>	<b>0</b>

**Agency Wide Scoring**

Each agency will receive a score (up to 23 points) for the below agency-wide scoring factors that will be added to each individual project's score below.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
<b>Equity</b>	Does the agency implement one or more strategies to advance racial equity? <i>(answer each statement; one point per statement)</i>	5	All answered Yes = 5 4 answered Yes = 4 3 answered Yes = 3 2 answered Yes = 2 1 answered Yes = 1 0 answered Yes = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Equity</b>	Does the agency include in its mission, or partner with an agency that includes in its mission, serving people who identify as Native/Tribal/Indigenous?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
<b>Client Participation in Project Design</b>	Does the agency engage unhoused and formerly unhoused participants and staff in service design and project implementation in any of the following ways? <i>(answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 5 points)</i>	5	5 or more Strategies = 5 4 Strategies = 4 3 Strategies = 3 2 Strategies = 2 1 Strategy = 1 0 Strategies = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? <i>(select one)</i>	3	CoC Member AND member of Local Coalition AND Member of CoC Committee/Workgroup = 3 CoC Member AND Member of Local Coalition = 2 CoC Member = 1	ND CoC Attendance Data		
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings? <i>(select one)</i>	2	Staff attended 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff attended 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff attended less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Did agency staff attend/view any ND CoC monthly training? <i>(select one)</i>	3	Staff attended/viewed 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 3 Staff attended/viewed 50% or more of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff attended/viewed less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Did the agency participate in the Point-in-Time Count for 2024?	3	Yes = 3 No = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ICA Verification		

**PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL**

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists have the discretion to adjust the score given to pre-scored criteria but are not to adjust more than 20% of the maximum points for the scoring factor. That outcomes will naturally be lower in a more challenging-to-serve population with severe needs and vulnerabilities, such as persons experiencing chronic homelessness, mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or domestic violence survivors; and

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the average percentage of actual beds to proposed beds? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q8b and eSNAPS Project Application 5A, ((APR 8b January Total + APR 8b April Total + APR 8b July Total + APR 8b October Total) ÷ 4) ÷ Project Application 5A Total Households)</i>  If the project utilization rate is less than 80%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	90-100% = 5 80-89% = 4 70-79% = 3 60-69% = 2 50-59% = 1 Less than 50% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		

<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the percentage of program participants who exited to positive housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report 5a and 23c, ([APR 5a Stayers + APR 23c Permanent Dest. Subtotal] ÷ [APR 5a Persons Served - APR Q23c Deceased - APR Q23c Hospital – APR 23c Foster Care – APR 23c Long-term Care or Nursing Home])</i>  If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to permanent housing and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the percentage of people who exited into homelessness? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q23c, APR Q23C Emergency Shelter + APR Q23c Place Not Meant for Habitation + by Total Exited)</i>  If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the percentage of adult stayers/leavers with increased cash income from entry to the latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q19a1 and Q19a2, Performance measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure average of 19a1 and 19a2)</i>  If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the percentage of persons served with at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q20b, Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers + Benefit at Exit for Leavers + by Total Number of Persons Served (Q5a).</i>  If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of adults with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	More than 60% = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0  Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Housing First</b>	Does the project enroll individuals or households referred through Coordinated Entry regardless of the following circumstances? <i>(answer each statement; 1 point per statement)</i>	5	All answered Yes = 5 4 answered Yes = 4 3 answered Yes = 3 2 answered Yes = 2 1 answered Yes = 1 0 answered Yes = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Housing First</b>	Does the project terminate participants from the project for any of the following reasons? <i>(answer each statement; 1 point per statement)</i>	5	All answered No = 5 4 answered No = 4 3 answered No = 3 2 answered No = 2 1 answered No = 1 0 answered No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Housing First</b>	How are program resources designed to support participants with high barriers to stability? <i>(Limit 1,500 characters)</i>	5	Detailed description of resources from project staff and partnerships and how they are supporting participants with high barriers to stability. = 5 Limited description of resources from project staff and partnerships and how they are supporting participants with high barriers to stability. = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Project Design</b>	Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project. <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	5	Detailed description of project, target population to be served, and plan for addressing needs for targeted population. = 5 Limited description of project, target population to be served, and plan for addressing needs for targeted population. = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project target clients coming from the street, emergency shelter, or domestic violence?	1	85% or higher are coming from the streets, smergency shelter, or domestic violence = 1 84% or less are coming from the streets, emergency shelter, or domestic violence = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project target clients who are chronically homeless?	1	75% or higher of turnover beds are prioritized for CH = 1 74% or less of turnover beds are prioritized for CH = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Describe the project's supportive services/case management offered to clients. Please include the following information: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, substance use treatment, trauma-informed care, etc.), length of time services are provided (while the participant is enrolled and after exit), participant population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence), client-based, staff to client ratio, other pertinent information to the agency's project. <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	5	Detailed description of project's supportive services/case management = 5 Limited description of project's supportive services/case management = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project provide transportation assistance to participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure that mainstream benefits are received and renewed (and for RRH projects, to verify that housing stability is maintained) for two years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Are annual interim reviews with current participants completed within 30 days of the anniversary date to check participant well-being and update all relevant data, including income, disability status, health care, etc.?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		

<b>Project Design</b>	Do project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a sub-recipient, or a partner agency?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project have any unexpended funds in FY22?  If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new grant, explain your projected spend down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	0% = 5 1-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project make quarterly drawdowns?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for any agency projects?  If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation of resolution within a timely manner = 2 Detailed explanation and plan in process to resolve findings = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Upload of HUD monitoring, if needed		
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project fully participate in the CoC's monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and any subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Upload of ND CoC monitoring, if needed		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the project maintain an average of 11 days or less between participants' project start date and entry into HMIS? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06e, Sum of Number of Project Start Records divided by 5)</i>  If the project has over 11 days on average data timeliness, explain the delay in entering data in HMIS and how the agency will improve data timeliness. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	2	11 days and under average data timeliness = 2 Over 11 days average data timeliness with narrative = 1 Over 11 days average data timeliness NO narrative = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the project maintain an average data quality error rate of less than 2% missing data? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06a, Q06b, and Q06c, Sum of % of Error Rate for all Data Elements divided by 15)</i>  If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	2% or less = 5 3-5% = 3 6% or higher with narrative = 2 6% or higher with NO narrative = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	What is the average time between the project start date and the housing move-in date? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q22c)</i>  If the project has 22 days or more, explain why and how the agency will improve errors. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	What is the project's total destination error rate? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06c)</i>  If the project has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	3	0 - 10% = 3 points; 10% or higher = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	Is the project pulling 100% of participants from the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	What is the percentage of provider rejected referrals from Coordinated Entry? <i>(Source: HMIS Coordinated Entry Referral Report)</i>  If the provider rejected referrals is 20% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application HMIS CE Referral Report		
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	What is the percentage of individuals who were housed in the project without a referral from Coordinated Entry? <i>(Source: HMIS Side-Door Report OR # of project starts AND # of CE referrals from July 1, 2023-June 30, 2024.)</i>  If the percentage is 89% or lower, explain why and how the agency will improve. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	2	More than 90% = 2 Less than 90% = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application HMIS Side Door Report		



**Application Information**

<b>Applicant Name</b>
<b>Funding Type (1A)</b>
<b>Name of Project (1D)</b>
<b>Amount Requested (1G)</b>
<b>Consolidate/Expand (1K)</b>
<b>Project Type (3A)</b>
<b>100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)</b>
<b>Units/Beds (4B)</b>
<b>Primary Contact</b>
<b>Email Address</b>
<b>Phone Number</b>
<b>UIE Number</b>

**Overview of Scoring - Transitional Housing, Rapid Rehousing, & Joint TH-RRH**

Summary of Factors	Possible Points	Reviewer's Score
<b>Agency Wide Scoring</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>
Equity	6	0
Client Participation in Project Design	5	0
CoC Participation	12	0
<b>Project-Specific Scoring</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0</b>
Project Performance	34	0
Housing First	15	0
Project Design	20	0
Spending History and Monitoring	11	0
HMIS and Data Quality	13	0
Coordinated Entry	6	0
<b>Total Possible Points</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>0</b>

**Agency Wide Scoring**

Each agency will receive a score (up to 23 points) for the below agency-wide scoring factors that will be added to each individual project's score below.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
<b>Equity</b>	Does the agency implement one or more strategies to advance racial equity? <i>(answer each statement; one point per statement)</i>	5	All answered Yes = 5 4 answered Yes = 4 3 answered Yes = 3 2 answered Yes = 2 1 answered Yes = 1 0 answered Yes = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Equity</b>	Does the agency include in its mission, or partner with an agency that includes in its mission, serving people who identify as Native/Tribal/Indigenous?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
<b>Client Participation in Project Design</b>	Does the agency engage unhoused and formerly unhoused participants and staff in service design and project implementation in any of the following ways? <i>(answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 5 points)</i>	5	5 or more Strategies = 5 4 Strategies = 4 3 Strategies = 3 2 Strategies = 2 1 Strategy = 1 0 Strategies = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? <i>(select one)</i>	3	CoC Member AND member of Local Coalition AND Member of CoC Committee/Workgroup = 3 CoC Member AND Member of Local Coalition = 2 CoC Member = 1	ND CoC Attendance Data		
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings? <i>(select one)</i>	2	Staff attended 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff attended 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff attended less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Did agency staff attend/view any ND CoC monthly training? <i>(select one)</i>	3	Staff attended/viewed 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 3 Staff attended/viewed 50% or more of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff attended/viewed less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Did the agency participate in the Point-in-Time Count for 2024?	3	Yes = 3 No = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
<b>ND CoC Participation</b>	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ICA Verification		

**PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL**

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists have the discretion to adjust the score given to pre-scored criteria but are not to adjust more than 20% of the maximum points for the scoring factor. That outcomes will naturally be lower in a more challenging-to-serve population with severe needs and vulnerabilities, such as persons experiencing chronic homelessness, mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or domestic violence survivors; and

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the average percentage of actual beds to proposed beds? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q8b and eSNAPS Project Application 5A, ((APR 8b January Total + APR 8b April Total + APR 8b July Total + APR 8b October Total) ÷ 4) ÷ Project Application 5A Total Households))</i>  If the project utilization rate is less than 80%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	90-100% = 5 80-89% = 4 70-79% = 3 60-69% = 2 50-59% = 1 Less than 50% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		

Project Performance	What is the percentage of program participants who exited to positive housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report 5a and 23c, ([APR 5a Stayers + APR 23c Permanent Dest. Subtotal] ÷ [APR 5a Persons Served - APR Q23c Deceased - APR Q23c Hospital – APR 23c Foster Care – APR 23c Long-term Care or Nursing Home]))</i>  If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to permanent housing and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
Project Performance	What is the median length of stay for project participants who have exited the project? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q22b, Median Length for Leavers)</i>  If the project is less than 6 months, explain the minimal length of stay and how the project will improve in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	2	Less than 6 months = 0 6-12 months = 1 13-24 months = 2 Detailed and relevant explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
Project Performance	What is the percentage of people who exited into homelessness? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q23c, APR Q23C Emergency Shelter + APR Q23c Place Not Meant for Habitation + by Total Exited)</i>  If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
Project Performance	What is the percentage of adult stayers/leavers with increased cash income from entry to the latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q19a1 and Q19a2, Performance measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure average of 19a1 and 19a2)</i>  If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
Project Performance	What is the percentage of persons served with at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q20b, Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers + Benefit at Exit for Leavers ÷ by Total Number of Persons Served (Q5a).</i>  If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of adults with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	More than 60% = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0  Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
Housing First	Does the project enroll individuals or households referred through Coordinated Entry regardless of the following circumstances? <i>(answer each statement; 1 point per statement)</i>	5	All answered Yes = 5 4 answered Yes = 4 3 answered Yes = 3 2 answered Yes = 2 1 answered Yes = 1 0 answered Yes = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Housing First	Does the project terminate participants from the project for any of the following reasons? <i>(answer each statement; 1 point per statement)</i>	5	All answered No = 5 4 answered No = 4 3 answered No = 3 2 answered No = 2 1 answered No = 1 0 answered No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Housing First	How are program resources designed to support participants with high barriers to stability? <i>(Limit 1,500 characters)</i>	5	Detailed description of resources from project staff and partnerships and how they are supporting participants with high barriers to stability. = 5 Limited description of resources from project staff and partnerships and how they are supporting participants with high barriers to stability. = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project. <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	5	Detailed description of project, target population to be served, and plan for addressing needs for targeted population. = 5 Limited description of project, target population to be served, and plan for addressing needs for targeted population. = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Project Design	Does the project target clients coming from the street, emergency shelter, or domestic violence? <i>(select one)</i>	1	85% or higher are coming from the streets, smergency shelter, or domestic violence = 1 84% or less are coming from the streets, emergency shelter, or domestic violence = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Project Design	Does the project target clients who are chronically homeless? <i>(select one)</i>	1	75% or higher of turnover beds are prioritized for CH = 1 74% or less of turnover beds are prioritized for CH = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services/case management offered to clients. Please include the following information: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, substance use treatment, trauma-informed care, etc.), length of time services are provided (while the participant is enrolled and after exit), participant population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence), client-based, staff to client ratio, other pertinent information to the agency's project. <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	5	Detailed description of project's supportive services/case management = 5 Limited description of project's supportive services/case management = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Project Design	Does the project provide transportation assistance to participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		

<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure that mainstream benefits are received and renewed (and for RRH projects, to verify that housing stability is maintained) for two years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Are annual interim reviews with current participants completed within 30 days of the anniversary date to check participant well-being and update all relevant data, including income, disability status, health care, etc.?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Do project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a sub-recipient, or a partner agency?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project have any unexpended funds in FY22? ( <i>select one</i> )  If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new grant, explain your projected spend down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. ( <i>Limit 750 characters</i> )	5	0% = 5 1-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project make quarterly drawdowns?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for any agency projects?  If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. ( <i>Limit 750 characters</i> )	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation of resolution within a timely manner = 2 Detailed explanation and plan in process to resolve findings = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Upload of HUD monitoring, if needed		
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project fully participate in the CoC's monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and any subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Upload of ND CoC monitoring, if needed		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the project maintain an average of 11 days or less between participants' project start date and entry into HMIS? ( <i>Source: Annual Performance Report Q06e, Sum of Number of Project Start Records divided by 5</i> )  If the project has over 11 days on average data timeliness, explain the delay in entering data in HMIS and how the agency will improve data timeliness. ( <i>Limit 750 characters</i> )	2	11 days and under average data timeliness = 2 points Over 11 days average data timeliness with narrative = 1 points Over 11 days average data timeliness NO narrative = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the project maintain an average data quality error rate of less than 2% missing data? ( <i>Source: Annual Performance Report Q06a, Q06b, and Q06c, Sum of % of Error Rate for all Data Elements divided by 15</i> )  If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. ( <i>Limit 750 characters</i> )	5	2% or less = 5 3-5% = 3 6% or higher with narrative = 2 6% or higher with NO narrative = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	What is the average time between the project start date and the housing move-in date? ( <i>Source: Annual Performance Report Q22c</i> )  If the project has 22 days or more, explain why and how the agency will improve errors. ( <i>Limit 750 characters</i> )	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	What is the project's total destination error rate? ( <i>Source: Annual Performance Report Q06c</i> )  If the project has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. ( <i>Limit 750 characters</i> )	3	0 - 10% = 3 points; 10% or higher = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	Is the project pulling 100% of participants from the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	What is the percentage of provider rejected referrals from Coordinated Entry? ( <i>Source: HMIS Coordinated Entry Referral Report</i> )  If the provider rejected referrals is 20% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve. ( <i>Limit 750 characters</i> )	2	Less than 15% = 2 points 15-20% = 1 point More than 20% = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application HMIS CE Referral Report		
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	What is the percentage of individuals who were housed in the project without a referral from Coordinated Entry? ( <i>Source: HMIS Side-Door Report OR # of project starts AND # of CE referrals from July 1, 2023-June 30, 2024.</i> )  If the percentage is 89% or lower, explain why and how the agency will improve. ( <i>Limit 750 characters</i> )	2	More than 90% = 1 point Less than 90% = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application HMIS Side Door Report		

**Application Information**

Applicant Name
Funding Type (1A)
Name of Project (1D)
Amount Requested (1G)
Consolidate/Expand (1K)
Project Type (3A)
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)
Units/Beds (4B)
Primary Contact
Email Address
Phone Number
UIE Number

**Overview of Scoring - SSO**

Summary of Factors	Possible Points	Reviewer's Score
<b>Agency Wide Scoring</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>
Equity	6	0
Client Participation in Project Design	5	0
CoC Participation	12	0
<b>Project-Specific Scoring</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>0</b>
Project Performance	32	0
Housing First	15	0
Project Design	20	0
Spending History and Monitoring	11	0
HMIS and Data Quality	13	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
<b>Total Possible Points</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>0</b>

**Agency Wide Scoring**

Each agency will receive a score (up to 23 points) for the below agency-wide scoring factors that will be added to each individual project's score below.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
Equity	Does the agency implement one or more strategies below to advance racial equity? (answer each statement; one point per statement)	5	All answered Yes = 5 4 answered Yes = 4 3 answered Yes = 3 2 answered Yes = 2 1 answered Yes = 1 0 answered Yes = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Equity	Does the agency include in its mission, or partner with an agency that includes in its mission, serving people who identify as Native/Tribal/Indigenous?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage unhoused and formerly unhoused participants and staff in service design and project implementation in any of the following ways? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 5 points)	5	5 or more Strategies = 5 4 Strategies = 4 3 Strategies = 3 2 Strategies = 2 1 Strategy = 1 0 Strategies = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	CoC Member AND member of Local Coalition AND Member of CoC Committee/Workgroup = 3 CoC Member AND Member of Local Coalition = 2 CoC Member = 1	ND CoC Attendance Data		
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings? (select one)	2	Staff attended 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff attended 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff attended less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff attend/view any ND CoC monthly training? (select one)	3	Staff attended/viewed 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 3 Staff attended/viewed 50% or more of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff attended/viewed less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the Point-in-Time Count for 2024?	3	Yes = 3 No = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ICA Verification		

**PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL**

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists have the discretion to adjust the score given to pre-scored criteria but are not to adjust more than 20% of the maximum points for the scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should note that outcomes will naturally be lower in a more challenging-to-serve population with severe needs and vulnerabilities, such as persons experiencing chronic homelessness, mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or domestic violence survivors; and

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
Project Performance	What is the average percentage of actual beds to proposed beds? (select one) (Source: Annual Performance Report Q8b and eSNAPS Project Application 5A, ((APR 8b January Total + APR 8b April Total + APR 8b July Total + APR 8b October Total) ÷ 4) ÷ Project Application 5A Total Households))  If the project utilization rate is less than 80%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. (Limit 750 characters)	5	90-100% = 5 80-89% = 4 70-79% = 3 60-69% = 2 50-59% = 1 Less than 50% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
Project Performance	What is the percentage of program participants who exited to positive housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? (select one) (Source: Annual Performance Report 5a and 23c, ((APR 5a Stayers + APR 23c Permanent Dest. Subtotal) ÷ [APR 5a Persons Served - APR Q23c Deceased - APR Q23c Hospital - APR 23c Foster Care - APR 23c Long-term Care or Nursing Home]))  If the project is less than 55% explain the low percentage and how the project will improve this metric in the upcoming year. (Limit 750 characters)	10	More than 80% = 10 70-79% = 6 55-69% = 4 Less than 55% = 0  Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		

<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the percentage of people who exited into homelessness? ( <i>select one</i> ) (Source: Annual Performance Report Q23c, APR Q23C Emergency Shelter + APR Q23c Place Not Meant for Habitation + by Total Exited)  If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. (Limit 750 characters)	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the percentage of adult stayers/leavers with increased cash income from entry to the latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment? ( <i>select one</i> ) (Source: Annual Performance Report Q19a1 and Q19a2, Performance measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure average of 19a1 and 19a2)  If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. (Limit 750 characters)	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
<b>Project Performance</b>	What is the percentage of persons served with at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? ( <i>select one</i> ) (Source: Annual Performance Report Q20b, Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers + Benefit at Exit for Leavers + by Total Number of Persons Served (Q5a)).  If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of adults with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. (Limit 750 characters)	5	More than 60% = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0  Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application, Submitted Annual Performance Report		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Housing First</b>	Does the project enroll individuals or households referred through Coordinated Entry regardless of the following circumstances? ( <i>answer each statement; 1 point per statement</i> )	5	All answered Yes = 5 4 answered Yes = 4 3 answered Yes = 3 2 answered Yes = 2 1 answered Yes = 1 0 answered Yes = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Housing First</b>	Does the project terminate participants from the project for any of the following reasons? ( <i>answer each statement; 1 point per statement</i> )	5	All answered No = 5 4 answered No = 4 3 answered No = 3 2 answered No = 2 1 answered No = 1 0 answered No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Housing First</b>	How are program resources designed to support participants with high barriers to stability? (Limit 1,500 characters)	5	Detailed description of resources from project staff and partnerships and how they are supporting participants with high barriers to stability. = 5 Limited description of resources from project staff and partnerships and how they are supporting participants with high barriers to stability. = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Project Design</b>	Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	5	Detailed description of project, target population to be served, and plan for addressing needs for targeted population. = 5 Limited description of project, target population to be served, and plan for addressing needs for targeted population. = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project target clients coming from the street, emergency shelter, or domestic violence?	1	85% or higher are coming from the streets, smergency shelter, or domestic violence = 1 84% or less are coming from the streets, emergency shelter, or domestic violence = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project target clients who are chronically homeless?	1	75% or higher of turnover beds are prioritized for CH = 1 74% or less of turnover beds are prioritized for CH = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Describe the project's supportive services/case management offered to clients. Please include the following information: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, substance use treatment, trauma-informed care, etc.), length of time services are provided (while the participant is enrolled and after exit), participant population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence), client-based, staff to client ratio, other pertinent information to the agency's project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	5	Detailed description of project's supportive services/case management = 5 Limited description of project's supportive services/case management = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project provide transportation assistance to participants to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure that mainstream benefits are received and renewed (and for RRH projects, to verify that housing stability is maintained) for a period of 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Are annual interim reviews with current participants completed within 30 days of the anniversary date to check on participant well-being and update all relevant data, including income, disability status, health care, etc.?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Do project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a sub-recipient, or a partner agency?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project have any unexpended funds in FY22?  If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new grant, explain your projected spend down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. (Limit 750 characters)	5	0% = 5 1-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project make quarterly drawdowns?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for any agency projects?  If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. (Limit 750 characters)	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation of resolution within a timely manner = 2 Detailed explanation and plan in process to resolve findings = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Upload of HUD monitoring, if needed		

<b>Spending History &amp; Monitoring</b>	Did the project fully participate in the CoC's monitoring process, including responding to requests for information and any subsequent correspondence in a timely manner?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Upload of ND CoC monitoring, if needed		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the project maintain an average of 11 days or less between participants' project start date and entry into HMIS? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06e, Sum of Number of Project Start Records divided by 5)</i> If the project has over 11 days on average data timeliness, explain the delay in entering data in HMIS and how the agency will improve data timeliness.	2	11 days and under average data timeliness = 2 points Over 11 days average data timeliness with narrative = 1 points Over 11 days average data timeliness NO narrative = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the project maintain an average data quality error rate of less than 2% missing data? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06a, Q06b, and Q06c, Sum of % of Error Rate for all Data Elements divided by 15)</i> If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	2% or less = 5 3-5% = 3 6% or higher with narrative = 2 6% or higher with NO narrative = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	What is the average time between the project start date and the housing move-in date? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q22c)</i> If the project has 22 days or more, explain why and how the agency will improve errors. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	What is the project's total destination error rate? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06c)</i> If the project has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	3	0 - 10% = 3 points; 10% or higher = 0 points Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	Is the project enrolling clients on the priority list for housing using the Coordinated Entry process?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	If a participant is pulled for housing, is the project working with the participant to find housing and provide documentation to the housing provider?	3	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		

**Application Information**

<b>Applicant Name</b>
<b>Funding Type (1A)</b>
<b>Name of Project (1D)</b>
<b>Amount Requested (1G)</b>
<b>Consolidate/Expand (1K)</b>
<b>Project Type (3A)</b>
<b>100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)</b>
<b>Units/Beds (4B)</b>
<b>Primary Contact</b>
<b>Email Address</b>
<b>Phone Number</b>
<b>UIE Number</b>

**Overview of Scoring - New**

Summary of Factors	Possible Points	Reviewer's Score
<b>Agency Wide Scoring</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>
Equity	6	0
Client Participation in Project Design	5	0
CoC Participation	12	0
<b>Project-Specific Scoring</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>0</b>
Project Design	38	0
CoC Priority	5	0
Housing First	15	0
Financial Capacity	15	0
HMIS and Data Quality	14	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
<b>Total Possible Points</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>0</b>

**Agency Wide Scoring**

Each agency will receive a score (up to 23 points) for the below agency-wide scoring factors that will be added to each individual project's score below.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
Equity	Does the agency implement one or more strategies below to advance racial equity? <i>(answer each statement; one point per statement)</i>	5	All answered Yes = 5 4 answered Yes = 4 3 answered Yes = 3 2 answered Yes = 2 1 answered Yes = 1 0 answered Yes = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Equity	Does the agency include in its mission, or partner with an agency that includes in its mission, serving people who identify as Native/Tribal/Indigenous?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage unhoused and formerly unhoused participants and staff in service design and project implementation in any of the following ways? <i>(answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 5 points)</i>	5	5 or more Strategies = 5 4 Strategies = 4 3 Strategies = 3 2 Strategies = 2 1 Strategy = 1 0 Strategies = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? <i>(select one)</i>	3	CoC Member AND member of Local Coalition AND Member of CoC Committee/Workgroup = 3 CoC Member AND Member of Local Coalition = 2 CoC Member = 1	ND CoC Attendance Data		
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings? <i>(select one)</i>	2	Staff attended 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff attended 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff attended less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff attend/view any ND CoC monthly training? <i>(select one)</i>	3	Staff attended/viewed 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 3 Staff attended/viewed 50% or more of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff attended/viewed less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the Point-in-Time Count for 2024?	3	Yes = 3 No = 0	ND CoC Attendance Data		
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ICA Verification		

**PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING**

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	Source Documentation	Reviewer's Score	Reviewer's Comments
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	5	Detailed description of proposed project = 5 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
Project Design	Describe the project's strategy to address the following: Reduce barriers to housing Identify, recruit, and retain landlords Administer rent and move-in assistance Provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing Emphasize client choice <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	10	Detailed description of project's strategy = 10 Limited explanation = 5 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		

<b>Project Design</b>	Explain how the project's services will help clients stabilize in permanent housing. This may include:  Individually assist each client in obtaining mainstream benefits and social and employment programs for which they can apply. Financial planning/budgeting support, life skills support, or classes Transportation to get to services or other appointments Services specific to the LGBTQIA+ community, especially those who are transgender or nonbinary Utilize peer support specialists/peer support staff or other staff with lived experience Improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking. <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	10	Detailed description of project's services = 10 Limited explanation = 5 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Explain how the project will be staffed, including training for staff to meet the needs of the population to be served (e.g., motivational interviewing, trauma-informed care, harm reduction, etc.), and if the agency will focus on hiring people with lived experience. <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	4	Detailed description of project staffing = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Describe how the project will provide services to assist clients in the following: Housing retention Follow up after placement or program exit Avoiding involuntary project exits and the steps that will be taken to ensure a client-centered no-fail approach <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	4	Detailed description of how services will be provided = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	For RRH projects only: How many months of rental assistance will be provided?	2	More than 18 months = 2 6-18 months = 1 Less than 6 months = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	For PSH projects only: Will the project dedicate 100% of beds to individuals experiencing chronic homelessness?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Project Design</b>	Explain how the project will be available and accessible to the diverse population of persons experiencing homelessness and how the agency will take proactive steps to promote fair access to housing without regard to race, ancestry, religion, disability, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, genetic information, marital status, familial status, and source of income taking into consideration the following: Serving eligible clients of different backgrounds, experiences, cultures, abilities, and language proficiencies Ensuring clients receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed Ensuring clients know their housing rights and are protected from discrimination based on race, ancestry, religion, disability, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, genetic information, marital status, familial status, and source of income. <i>(Limit 2,500 characters)</i>	3	Detailed description of agency's proactive steps = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>ND CoC Priority</b>	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state that are currently underserved or without current CoC-funded projects? If yes, what region(s) will the project serve?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Housing First</b>	Will the project enroll individuals or households regardless of the following circumstances? <i>(answer each statement; 1 point per statement)</i>	5	All answered Yes = 5 4 answered Yes = 4 3 answered Yes = 3 2 answered Yes = 2 1 answered Yes = 1 0 answered Yes = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Housing First</b>	Will the project terminate participants from the project for any of the following reasons? <i>(answer each statement; 1 point per statement)</i>	5	All answered No = 5 4 answered No = 4 3 answered No = 3 2 answered No = 2 1 answered No = 1 0 answered No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Housing First</b>	How are program resources designed to support participants with high barriers to stability? <i>(Limit 1,500 characters)</i>	5	Detailed description of resources from project staff and partnerships and how they are supporting participants with high barriers to stability. = 5 Limited description of resources from project staff and partnerships and how they are supporting participants with high barriers to stability. = 3 Unacceptable/Unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Financial Capacity</b>	Does the agency have experience managing and implementing HUD or North Dakota state funding targeted to serving people experiencing homelessness? <i>(3 points per funding source)</i>	6	HUD funding = 3 ND State funding = 3	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Financial Capacity</b>	What percentage of funds were unexpended for HUD- or ND state-funded projects? What percentage of funds were unexpended for HUD- or ND state-funded projects in FY22? <i>(select one)</i>  If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds in other HUD or ND state funding, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	3	0% = 5 1-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Financial Capacity</b>	Did the agency make quarterly drawdowns for other HUD- or ND state-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Financial Capacity</b>	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for any agency projects?  If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation of resolution within a timely manner = 2 Detailed explanation and plan in process to resolve findings = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		



<b>Financial Capacity</b>	Is the agency free of finding in the most recent financial audit?  If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation of resolution within a timely manner = 2 Detailed explanation and plan in process to resolve findings = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the project maintain an average of 11 days or less between participants' project start date and entry into HMIS? <i>(select one)</i> <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06e, Sum of Number of Project Start Records divided by 5)</i> If the project has over 11 days on average data timeliness, explain the delay in entering data in HMIS and how the agency will improve data timeliness. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	2	11 days and under average data timeliness = 2 Over 11 days average data timeliness with narrative = 1 Over 11 days average data timeliness NO narrative = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	Does the project maintain an average data quality error rate of less than 2% missing data? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06a, Q06b, and Q06c, Sum of % of Error Rate for all Data Elements divided by 15)</i>  If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	5	2% or less = 5 3-5% = 3 6% or higher with narrative = 2 6% or higher with NO narrative = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	What is the average time between the project start date and the housing move-in date? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q22c)</i>  If the project has 22 days or more, explain why and how the agency will improve errors. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>HMIS/Data Quality</b>	What is the project's total destination error rate? <i>(Source: Annual Performance Report Q06c)</i> If the project has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. <i>(Limit 750 characters)</i>	3	0 - 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0	ND CoC Competition Application Annual Performance Report		
<b>Category</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Scoring Considerations</b>	<b>Source Documentation</b>	<b>Reviewer's Score</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comments</b>
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	For PSH, Joint TH/RRH, TH, or RRH Projects Only: Will the project pull 100% of participants from the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		
<b>Coordinated Entry</b>	For SSO Projects Only: Will the project conduct the Housing Prioritization Tool with clients to place them on the CE priority list?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	ND CoC Competition Application		

Applicant Name	Project Name	R&R Totals	Average	Available Points	Percent	Rank	Project Accepted/ Rejected	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds
North Dakota Housing Finance Agency	ND CoC Planning Grant					Not Ranked		\$ 163,603.00	\$ -
Institute for Community Alliances	ND HMIS Project FY2023					1	Accepted	\$ 220,944.00	\$ -
Institute for Community Alliances	ND CE SSO New Project FFY2023	309.0	44.1	119.0	37%	2	Accepted	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay DV FY2023	983.0	109.2	122.0	90%	3	Accepted	\$ 350,952.00	\$ -
Fraser, Ltd.	Fraser, Ltd. Permanent Supportive Housing	713.0	101.9	120.0	85%	4	Accepted	\$ 125,686.00	\$ -
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay SHP Consolidated FY2023	711.0	101.6	120.0	85%	5	Accepted	\$ 140,990.00	\$ -
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay Transitional Housing (TH) FY2023	708.0	101.1	122.0	83%	6	Accepted	\$ 215,500.00	\$ -
Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks Joint PH-RRH and TH 2023	685.0	97.9	122.0	80%	7	Accepted	\$ 98,208.00	\$ -
Prairie Harvest Mental Health	Prairie Harvest Mental Health Supportive Housing ProgramFY2023	634.0	90.6	120.0	75%	8	Accepted	\$ 89,727.00	\$ -
Abused Adult Resource Center	Hope Stability Project Renewal FY 23	818.0	90.9	122.0	74%	9	Accepted	\$ 61,209.00	\$ -
Women's Alliance, Inc. DBA: Domestic Violence and Rape Crisi	SW North Dakota Supportive Housing Project	619.0	88.4	122.0	72%	10	Accepted	\$ 36,500.00	\$ -
Abused Adult Resource Center	Bonnie's FY2023	585.0	83.6	120.0	70%	11	Accepted	\$ 4,845.00	\$ -
Abused Adult Resource Center	DZ Hope House Transitional Housing	586.0	83.7	122.0	69%	12	Accepted	\$ 73,625.00	\$ -
Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing AARC FY2023	724.0	80.4	122.0	66%	13	Accepted	\$ 128,156.00	\$ -
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP SHP 2021 Renewal c 2022	544.0	77.7	120.0	65%	14	Accepted	\$ 239,285.00	\$ -
Grand Forks Housing Authority	Scattered Site PSH Support Services FY2023	534.0	76.3	120.0	64%	15	Accepted	\$ 207,378.00	\$ -
Abused Adult Resource Center	DZ Hope House Transitional Housing	586.0	83.7	122.0	69%	16	Accepted	\$ 3,875.00	\$ -
Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing AARC FY2023	724.0	80.4	122.0	66%	17	Accepted	\$ 6,745.00	\$ -
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP SHP 2021 Renewal c 2022	544.0	77.7	120.0	65%	18	Accepted	\$ 25,624.00	\$ -
Safe Alternatives for Abused Families	SAAF Campus	861.0	107.6	115.0	94%	19	Accepted	\$ 351,046.00	\$ -
United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First	598.0	99.7	115.0	87%	20	Accepted	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -
Fargo Housing & Redevelopment Authority	RASHP - Expansion	662.0	94.6	115.0	82%	21	Accepted	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -
Missouri Slope Areawide United Way	Center for Opportunity	617.0	88.1	115.0	77%	22	Accepted	\$ 106,403.00	\$ -
Institute for Community Alliances	ND Renewal YHDP Project Application FY2023					YHDP-Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -
Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Joint TH-RRH	786.5	98.3	122.0	81%	YHDP-Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 289,224.00	\$ -
Fraser, Ltd.	Rapid Re-Housing	791.0	98.9	122.0	81%	YHDP-Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$ 132,498.00	\$ 132,498.00
Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Navigation and SSO	748.0	93.5	119.0	79%	YHDP-Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -
Pathfinder Services of ND, LLC	Pathways to Success	725.0	90.6	119.0	76%	YHDP-Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 201,277.00	\$ -
Grand Forks Housing Authority	Region 4 System Navigation	587.0	73.4	119.0	62%	YHDP-Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 201,278.00	\$ -
Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Fargo TH RRH 2024	737.0	105.3	115.0	92%	YHDP-Not Ranked	Accepted	\$ 132,498.00	\$ 132,498.00
Blessed Builders	Blessed Builders Sober Living	230.0	32.9	115.0	29%	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ 650,000.00	\$ -
Amachi Mentoring	Homeless Shelter	785.0	98.1	115.0	85%	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ 185,000.00	\$ -
United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First	696.0	99.4	115.0	86%	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -



2902 University Drive South  
Fargo, ND 58103  
Telephone: 701-232-3301  
Fax: 701-237-5775  
E-mail: [fraser@fraserltd.org](mailto:fraser@fraserltd.org)  
[www.fraserltd.org](http://www.fraserltd.org)

VIA EMAIL ONLY: [smwiller@nd.gov](mailto:smwiller@nd.gov)

October 11, 2024

North Dakota Housing Finance Agency  
ATTN: Shawnel Willer  
Continuum of Care Coordinator

**SUBJECT: APPEAL OF GRANT DENIAL**

Dear Ms. Willer:

Please review this appeal of the denial of the renewal of Fraser's YHDP grant.

INTRODUCTION

Fraser, Ltd. provides Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) services to 13 people in the Fargo area (11 current and 2 pending). Because of administrative delays by HUD, Fraser has been unable to bill the North Dakota Housing Finance Agency (NDHFA) under applicable grants. Fraser did, however, provide the services called for in the grant, and is highly scored in many of its programs for NDHFA. Fraser has received troubling reports about the makeup of the CoC reviewing committee for our grant.

If NDHFA does not grant this appeal, and fund Fraser's support under the grant, these 13 people will receive notice of eviction — leading to a grave risk of them losing housing.

PART I. APPEAL FOR OCCURRENCE BEYOND THE REASONABLE CONTROL OF CONTRACTOR

NDHFA administers funds of the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Under various laws, administrative rules, and agreements between HUD and NDHFA, NDHFA is an agent and subcontractor for HUD. This includes the YHDP program for which NDHFA denied funding to Fraser.

Fraser naturally experiences turnover any all of its positions over time. The person granted access by HUD to use the ELOCCS system to draw down funds under the YHDP grant left Fraser’s employment. At the time of Fraser’s application for continued funding, HUD had not acted upon Fraser’s repeated requests and applications for ELOCCS access for an astounding 17 months. HUD has yet to grant Fraser access, despite repeatedly committing to doing so. On this September 27, 2024, HUD finally granted Fraser access to ELOCCS and Fraser “drew down” the appropriate funding the same day.

The Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Section 52.212-4(f) states:

(f) Excusable delays. The Contractor shall be liable for default **unless nonperformance is caused by an occurrence beyond the reasonable control of the Contractor and without its fault or negligence such as**, acts of God or the public enemy, **acts of the Government in either its sovereign or contractual capacity**, fires, floods, epidemics, quarantine restrictions, strikes, unusually severe weather, and delays of common carriers. The Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in writing as soon as it is reasonably possible after the commencement of any excusable delay, setting forth the full particulars in connection therewith, shall remedy such occurrence with all reasonable dispatch, and shall promptly give written notice to the Contracting Officer of the cessation of such occurrence.

Source: *52.212-4 Contract Terms and Conditions—Commercial Products and Commercial Services. [Emphasis added.]*

Link: <https://www.acquisition.gov/node/31867/printable/print>

Fraser has submitted to NDHFA a timeline of attempts to gain access to the ELOCCS System at HUD. Despite its direct relationship with HUD, NDHFA has not shown any evidence of advocacy to HUD that HUD should be more timely and responsive in granting access to ELOCCS.

It is therefore inappropriate for NDHFA to reduce Fraser’s score in the application for continued funding.

Please also consider that, whether paid or unpaid, Fraser did complete the services called for in the grant, as outlined in Part II of this Appeal.

## PART II. APPEAL FOR IMPROPER DEDUCTION OF POINTS FROM FRASER CONSIDERING SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OF ACTUAL SERVICES TO PERSONS WITHOUT HOUSING.

It has been reported to Fraser, but not confirmed, that the CoC scoring committee for Fraser’s grant included our most direct competitor for this funding and, reportedly, a disgruntled former Fraser employee. If either of these concerns were confirmed, Fraser would respectfully—but **strongly**—object and request new scores by disinterested parties.

Please see the detailed response to a number individual scoring criteria prepared by Erica Markle. She addresses the criteria found at lines 37, 41, 43, 45, 53, 54, 68 and 80 of the scoring spreadsheet.

Under the Grant, NDHFA reduced Fraser's score in its application for continued funding because NDHFA withdrew funding from Fraser. This withdrawal occurred even though Fraser substantially completed all of its contract duties under the grant, including services to persons with housing instability or homelessness. The only significant deficiency was billing under the ELOCCS System, due to an inexcusable delay by HUD.

Fraser did not formally object or appeal when NDHFA withdrew funding because NDHFA is an important partner to Fraser in combatting homelessness in North Dakota. Fraser wants to work with NDHFA in the future to serve North Dakotans with housing insecurity.

The focus of public spending should be on whether the funding objectives were obtained while ensuring that funds were not expended on prohibited activities. For example, see HUD's Guide for Review of CoC Rapid Rehousing Requirements, part of the CPD MONITORING HANDBOOK (6509.2) at [https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/administration/hudclips/handbooks/cpd/6509.2](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/administration/hudclips/handbooks/cpd/6509.2). Document link: <https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/65092E295CPDH.DOCX>. **NONE** of the guidance in that Handbook states when funds must be expended. Instead, the Guide speaks to meeting program requirements before expending grant funds, and prohibits violating program restrictions.

Indeed, to penalize a grant recipient for achieving the outcomes of the grant because the grantee billed less than the granted amount would be an absurd result, and against the best interests of homeless North Dakotans and the public.

## SUMMARY

The inability of the grantee, Fraser, Ltd., to draw down funds under this grant was created by HUD not properly administering access to its own program — the ELOCCS system. Fraser provided the services to homeless persons required by the grant, whether or not it was paid for them. If Fraser is not funded for ongoing services in the coming year, 12 North Dakotans without access to other housing will receive notices of eviction.

The NDHFA should change its scoring of Fraser's application, and continue funding.

Sincerely,



Bruce D. Murry

Consultant to Fraser, Ltd.

## ERICA MARKLE'S RESPONSE TO SCORES BY LINE NUMBER

37 – We had one client discharge. He discharged into a positive housing destination. See attachment. This should be 10 points not 1.

41 Why is this blank? No one exited into homelessness. We should get 7 points here.

43 – The score on this is very strict. It is important to note that all adults moved in (minus one family two adults where one was already working and the mom stayed home with their 3 kids) in March 2024 or later. That is only a few months of time to be scored on. These clients are literally homeless starting with nothing. We have to work on substance use, mental health, getting vital documents, etc., first before we look at employment. CoC should use its discretion to give partial points.

45 – Only one client left or was eligible for this to be counted. We offered multiple times to sign him up for benefits. He declined. He was working and stated he didn't need benefits to make ends meet. This honors the client right to self determination — we offered, he refused and he has every right to decline services.

53- We admit off the CARES list. Occasionally people that are staying in other locations move in. We value client choice, and some choose this environment.

54- Chronically homeless is just PSH. TH/RRH targets literally homeless individuals. The question is biased against TH/RRH services.

68- The HMIS initially system would not accept entries for Joint RRH/TH clients. Fraser worked diligently with ICA for technical support to get this first family entered correctly. Unfortunately, our first move in was a family of 5. This meant all of our first 5 entries were late in a brand new program. Our project had 13 people total for that left us with 5/13 clients' data being late. The issue was resolved and subsequent entries were very timely.

80- We should have gotten full points for this. Fraser sent over all documents showing all our move ins were from coordinated entry. The way their system works is beyond our control. We provided a letter from Alex, the data specialist at ICA, stating we use coordinated entry.



Working together to ensure homelessness  
in ND is rare, brief, and non-recurring

October 17, 2024

Bruce D. Murry  
Consultant  
Fraser, Ltd.  
2902 University Drive South  
Fargo, ND 58103

Dear Sandra,

RE: Response to Appeal of Grant Denial

In response to Fraser, Ltd.'s (Fraser) appeal of grant denial for the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program (YHDP) grant, please see the following response to each section of Fraser's appeal letter.

#### INTRODUCTION

While Fraser's grant is for a Joint Transitional Housing (TH)/Rapid Rehousing (RRH) project, Fraser did not receive any funding for the Rapid Rehousing component of the project. As outlined in the grant agreement signed between the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and Fraser, the allocated activities were for leasing and supportive services. While the YHDP project allows for a special activity to use leasing dollars for Rapid Rehousing, Fraser did not choose to utilize this particular activity.

#### PART I. APPEAL FOR OCCURRENCE BEYOND THE REASONABLE CONTROL OF CONTRACTOR

North Dakota Housing Finance Agency (NDHFA) does not administer the Continuum of Care (CoC) or YHDP funds. Fraser signed a grant agreement with HUD, not NDHFA.

Fraser's YHDP grant period was from October 1, 2022, to September 30, 2024.

Fraser made a drawdown request for their CoC grant in eLOCCS on April 29, 2024. Amanda Barton, whose access to eLOCCS was terminated on September 3, 2024, made the drawdown request. Additionally, Fraser drew funds for their CoC grant in 2023. While these are two different grants, this April 2024 request shows that Fraser had access to eLOCCS more recently than the 17-month claim of no access.

Fraser was awarded \$264,996 for this grant. The drawdown request made in late September 2024 was for \$123,355.95, which leaves over \$141,640 on the table that will be returned to HUD unless Fraser can make an additional request for those funds before the end of December (with all expenses being incurred before September 30, 2024).

Furthermore, this grant was over two years. The excusable delays are invalid, as Fraser had ample time to draw funds within the two years and, as explained above, had someone in eLOCCS as recently as September 2024, with this approved individual making a draw in April 2024 for the CoC-funded grant. Fraser did not make any draws while they had this approved individual in eLOCCS for their YHDP grant. The agency is responsible for ensuring they always have a user in eLOCCS to ensure drawdowns can be made as required by the grant, regardless of staff turnover.

In the fall of 2023, HUD became aware of issues with the project's environmental reviews. At this time, biweekly meetings with HUD, HUD TA, and the ND CoC were requested with Fraser to assist them with their project. The subject of these meetings included environmental reviews, a spending plan, and regular, on-time draws for eligible costs, as Fraser did not spend any YHDP grant funding during the first year of their grant agreement. During these meetings, Fraser was asked to provide environmental review updates and a spending plan for the rest of the grant period. It was also recommended that Fraser consider submitting a budget amendment to realign their funding with the project's design and implementation and an extension request.

NDHFA staff completed the environmental reviews for the project. A spending plan for the remaining grant period was never submitted. Fraser submitted an amendment request in January 2024 with incomplete information. In May 2024, HUD was still awaiting the documentation for the substantial amendment request; Fraser never submitted the information, and the amendment was not granted. Additionally, there was another opportunity from HUD to request an extension into 2025 with a July 31 deadline for the extension request. Fraser chose not to extend their grant.

In May 2024, Fraser notified HUD that they could not access or draw funds from eLOCCS due to staff turnover. On June 12, 2024, HUD placed a threshold review for voucher submission in eLOCCS due to the ongoing failure to respond to HUD's request for meetings to establish regular communication regarding program performance and spending. At this time, HUD and CoC staff requested mandatory meetings with Fraser, which they agreed to attend.

On September 26, 2024, HUD issued a letter of deficiency to Fraser regarding their YHDP grant. As of October 17, 2024, Fraser has not responded to this letter.

On September 16, 2024, Fraser emailed some documentation to HUD so HUD could start reviewing it before submitting a reimbursement request in eLOCCS. Fraser was notified that the submitted documentation was insufficient. On September 27, 2024, Fraser submitted a reimbursement request in eLOCCS for \$123,355.95. As of October 16, 2024, Fraser has not answered the additional documentation requests. Therefore, HUD has not been able to process the reimbursement request.

Although Fraser may not be aware, the ND CoC Coordinator met with HUD and HUD Technical Assistance (TA) bi-weekly to discuss all YHDP projects. Once we became aware of an issue, the CoC Coordinator advocated on Fraser's behalf during every meeting and discussed Fraser's eLOCCS access.

As outlined in the CoC interim rule, section 578.85(c)(3), Timeliness standards,

Drawdown funds must be completed once per quarter of the program year after eligible activities commence.



Additionally, in the CPD Monitoring Handbook, Chapter 29 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program, Exhibit 29-3 Guide for Review of CoC Recipient Overall Grant Management, Question 9 asks explicitly:

Do records document that the recipient drew down funds at least once per quarter of the program year (after eligible activities commenced)? [24 CFR 578.85(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)]

Therefore, it is appropriate for the CoC to reduce points in an application for failing to meet this requirement.

## PART II. APPEAL FOR IMPROPER DEDUCTION OF POINTS FROM FRASER CONSIDERING SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OF ACTUAL SERVICES TO PERSONS WITHOUT HOUSING.

Applicants are not allowed to review and rank applications. As stated in the CoC Rating & Review Policy, Section VII.D.1.a,

The ND CoC solicits interest and designates a Rating and Ranking Committee identified through a public application process with no representatives being accepted from applicant agencies (staff, clients, or board members).

The Rating and Ranking committee reviewers and their scores for this project include the following:

1. Beverly Ramsey, MARCO, Minot, ND, 82
2. Bridget Mattern, NDHFA, Bismarck, ND, 97
3. Jennifer Perdue, MARCO, Minot, ND, 101
4. Kari Schultz, Community Action Agency, Williston, ND, 96
5. Kevin Perdue, MARCO, Minot, ND, 104
6. Mike Weatherly, South Central Human Service Center, Jamestown, ND, 102
7. Sue Shirek, Northlands Rescue Mission, Grand Forks, ND, 97
8. Shawnel Willer, ND Continuum of Care, Bismarck, ND, 96

As exhibited by the above reviewers and scores, none of the reviewers are located in the Fargo area, nor are there any youth-specific providers on the committee. Therefore, none of them are direct competitors of Fraser. Furthermore, all reviewers were fairly aligned with their scores, showing that a “disgruntled former Fraser employee” did not impact the application's overall score.

While federal funding focuses on serving eligible participants in an awarded program, the project must meet specific requirements beyond serving participants, including timeliness of drawdowns for the awarded funds. As stated above, quarterly drawdowns are a requirement of the awarded funds. Of 122 available points in the entire application, 2 points were dedicated to quarterly drawdowns.

The withdrawal of NDHFA funding was for the ND Homeless Grant (NDHG), where Fraser did not expend their funds and returned the total amount to NDHFA. This was not scored on Fraser's YHDP or CoC renewal grants, as the score was based on the individual unexpended funds for each project.

## ADDITIONAL COC CONCERNS

HUD monitored Fraser's CoC PSH grant in May 2023. On July 18, 2023, Fraser received a letter from HUD outlining five findings. Fraser was to submit verification of completion of corrective actions for each finding to HUD within 60 days of the date of the letter. On April 17, 2024, Fraser received another letter from HUD stating that the monitoring officer had "made numerous attempts to connect" with Fraser regarding the open findings and that they all remained open. The CPD office requested that Fraser respond and begin working on closing out these open findings by April 30, 2024. As of September 30, 2024, these findings remain open.

Fraser also did not follow the requirement of quarterly draws for this grant, only making one drawdown request in April 2024 for the total amount of the grant.

While the CoC is aware that these findings are not directly related to the YHDP grant, it shows a lack of communication and efficient follow-through on Fraser's part regarding the requirements of a grant funded by the same entity. As of October 1, 2024, the YHDP grant has rolled over to a CoC grant and now falls under the same funding source.

## SUMMARY

The Fraser project scored lower than the new project submitted for the same project component with the same funding source. Due to the score and the additional concerns outlined in this letter, the Rating and Ranking Committee determined that the new project should be funded. The funding that has been withdrawn from this project does not take effect until October 1, 2025, thus giving Fraser time to transition the current project participants to another program within their organization or work with the participants to find permanent housing within the next year. Since project participants are not allowed to be enrolled in TH for more than 24 months and no RRH funds were provided under this grant, the transition of the project participants would most likely need to happen before the end of the current funding Fraser has or shortly thereafter.

On October 17, 2024, the Rating and Ranking Committee met and reviewed Fraser's appeal request. Please see below for additional information on the project scoring. Based on the information above and the overall project scores, the committee determined that the original decision to reallocate this project's funding to a new project stands.

Sincerely,



Shawnel Willer  
ND Continuum of Care Coordinator

cc: Jennifer Henderson, NDHFA  
ND CoC Rating & Ranking Committee  
Sandra Leyland, Fraser, Ltd.  
Jonathan Kadrmas, Fraser, Ltd.

## ERICA MARKLE'S RESPONSE TO SCORES BY LINE NUMBER

**NOTE:** The record request submitted to Fraser had multiple tabs, each with individual reviewer scores. It is believed that Erica may have only reviewed the first tab of the spreadsheet, which had only one reviewer's score. Below is the total available score for the questioned lines, Fraser's total score from the reviewers, reviewer comments, and additional information (if needed).

37 – We had one client discharge. He discharged into a positive housing destination. See attachment. This should be 10 points not 1.

- **ND CoC Response.**
  - Total score available: 80 (10 points per reviewer)
  - Total score from reviewers: 71 80
  - Reviewer comments: None

41 Why is this blank? No one exited into homelessness. We should get 7 points here.

- **ND CoC Response.**
  - Total score available: 56 (7 points per reviewer)
  - Total score from reviewers: 49 56
  - Reviewer comments: None

43 – The score on this is very strict. It is important to note that all adults moved in (minus one family two adults where one was already working and the mom stayed home with their 3 kids) in March 2024 or later. That is only a few months of time to be scored on. These clients are literally homeless starting with nothing. We have to work on substance use, mental health, getting vital documents, etc., first before we look at employment. CoC should use its discretion to give partial points.

- **ND CoC Response.**
  - Total score available: 40 (5 points per reviewer)
  - Total score from reviewers: 12
  - Reviewer comments: Detailed explanation
  - The information for this question comes from the Annual Performance Report and includes all individuals who have exited the program or have had an annual assessment. It excludes all participants not yet required to have an annual assessment. Therefore, the client's above data would not have been considered for this question. The applicant can also receive points by providing a reason for the low data outcome. Fraser received points for their explanation from all reviewers. The one client who exited and was shown in the APR did not increase their income.

45 – Only one client left or was eligible for this to be counted. We offered multiple times to sign him up for benefits. He declined. He was working and stated he didn't need benefits to make ends meet. This honors the client right to self determination — we offered, he refused and he has every right to decline services.

- **ND CoC Response.**
  - Total score available: 40 (5 points per reviewer)

- Total score from reviewers: 16
- Reviewer comments: Detailed explanation
- The information for this question comes from the Annual Performance Report and includes all individuals who have exited the program or have had an annual assessment. It excludes all participants not yet required to have an annual assessment. The applicant can also receive points by providing a reason for the low data outcome. Fraser received points for their explanation from all reviewers.

53- We admit off the CARES list. Occasionally people that are staying in other locations move in. We value client choice, and some choose this environment.

- **ND CoC Response.**

- Total score available: 8 (1 point per reviewer)
- Total score from reviewers: 0
- Reviewer comments: None
- The information for this question comes from the project's local competition application. Fraser answered that 84% or less come from the street, emergency shelter, or domestic violence; thus, reviewers gave the project zero points. As a YHDP-funded project for TH, eligible participants must be literally homeless, at risk of homelessness, or fleeing or attempting to flee. All CARES referrals should meet one of these categories of homelessness. This question asks if 85% or higher meets the literally homeless definition.

54- Chronically homeless is just PSH. TH/RRH targets literally homeless individuals. The question is biased against TH/RRH services.

- **ND CoC Response.**

- Total score available: 8 (1 point per reviewer)
- Total score from reviewers: 0
- Reviewer comments: None
- The information for this question comes from the project's local competition application. The above question (line 53) could offset this point for TH/RRH projects. The ND CoC will take this comment under consideration for the next competition.

68- The HMIS initially system would not accept entries for Joint RRH/TH clients. Fraser worked diligently with ICA for technical support to get this first family entered correctly. Unfortunately, our first move in was a family of 5. This meant all of our first 5 entries were late in a brand new program. Our project had 13 people total for that left us with 5/13 clients' data being late. The issue was resolved and subsequent entries were very timely.

- **ND CoC Response.**

- Total score available: 16 (2 points per reviewer)
- Total score from reviewers: 13
- Reviewer comments: None

80- We should have gotten full points for this. Fraser sent over all documents showing all our move ins were from coordinated entry. The way their system works is beyond our control. We provided a letter from Alex, the data specialist at ICA, stating we use coordinated entry.

- **ND CoC Response.**
  - Total score available: 8 (1 point per reviewer)
  - Total score from reviewers: 7
  - Reviewer comments
    - They have checked the wrong box as I believe it should be the 89% or less.
    - Reviewer believes the wrong box was checked due to explanation
  - The ND CoC admits this question was confusing and will look to change it in the next competition. However, Fraser did receive 7 out of 8 points from the reviewers.

Overall scores

- **ND CoC Response.**
  - Total score available: 976 (122 per reviewer)
  - Total score from reviewers: 775 (96.9 average score)
  - Percent (based on total points available and awarded points): 79%

The ND Rating & Ranking Committee reviewed this information and determined that line items 37 and 41 scores should be adjusted to 80 and 56, respectively. This changes the application scores as follows:

- Total score available: 976 (122 per reviewer)
- Total score from reviewers: 791 (98.9 average score)
- Percent (based on total points available and awarded points): 81%

Despite this score adjustment, the project still scores below the project the committee chose to fund.

Tier	Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	Renewal/New*	R&R Totals	Average	Available Points	Percent **	Amount Requested	Grant Inventory Worksheet	Recommended Funding	Change	Increase/Decrease Amount	Project Accepted/Rejected
1	1	Institute for Community Alliances	ND HMIS Project 2024	HMIS	Renewal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0%	\$220,944.00	\$ 220,944.00	\$ 220,944.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	2	Institute for Community Alliances	ND CE SSO Renewal Project 2024	SSO	Renewal	309.0	44.1	119.0	37%	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	3	YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay DV FY 2024	Joint TH/RRH	DV Renewal	983.0	109.2	122.0	90%	\$350,952.00	\$ 350,952.00	\$ 350,952.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	4	Fraser, Ltd.	Fraser, Ltd. Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	Renewal	713.0	101.9	120.0	85%	\$125,686.00	\$ 125,686.00	\$ 125,686.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	5	YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay SHP Consolidated FY 2024	PH-PSH	Renewal	711.0	101.6	120.0	85%	\$140,990.00	\$ 140,990.00	\$ 140,990.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	6	YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay Transitional Housing (TH) FY 2024	TH	Renewal	708.0	101.1	122.0	83%	\$215,500.00	\$ 215,500.00	\$ 215,500.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	7	Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks Joint PH-RRH and TH 2024	Joint TH/RRH	Renewal	685.0	97.9	122.0	80%	\$ 98,208.00	\$ 98,208.00	\$ 98,208.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	8	Prairie Harvest Mental Health	Prairie Harvest Mental Health Permanent Supportive Housing Program	PH-PSH	Renewal	634.0	90.6	120.0	75%	\$ 89,727.00	\$ 89,727.00	\$ 89,727.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	9	Women's Alliance, Inc. DBA: Domestic Violence	SW North Dakota Supportive Housing Project	TH	Renewal	619.0	88.4	122.0	72%	\$ 36,500.00	\$ 36,500.00	\$ 36,500.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	10	Abused Adult Resource Center	Bonnie's FY2024	PH-PSH	Renewal	585.0	83.6	120.0	70%	\$ 4,845.00	\$ 4,845.00	\$ 4,845.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
1	11	Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing 2024 (Consolidated)	PH-RRH	DV Renewal	771.0	85.7	122.0	70%	\$196,111.00	\$ 196,111.00	\$ 189,365.90	\$ (6,745.10)	\$ (6,745.10)	Accepted
1	12	Abused Adult Resource Center	DZ Hope House Transitional Housing 2024	TH	Renewal	586.0	83.7	122.0	69%	\$ 77,500.00	\$ 77,500.00	\$ 73,625.00	\$ (3,875.00)	\$ (3,875.00)	Accepted
1	13	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP Renewal FY2024	PH-PSH	Renewal	544.0	77.7	120.0	65%	\$264,910.00	\$ 264,910.00	\$ 239,285.60	\$ (25,624.40)	\$ (25,624.40)	Accepted
1	14	Grand Forks Housing Authority	Scattered Site PSH Support Services FY2024	PH-PSH	Renewal	534.0	76.3	120.0	64%	\$207,378.00	\$ 207,378.00	\$ 207,378.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
2		Abused Adult Resource Center	DZ Hope House Transitional Housing 2024	TH	Renewal	586.0	83.7	122.0	69%		\$ 77,500.00	\$ 3,875.00	\$ (73,625.00)	\$ (73,625.00)	Accepted
2		Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing 2024	PH-RRH	DV Renewal	724.0	80.4	122.0	66%		\$ 196,111.00	\$ 6,745.10	\$ (189,365.90)	\$ (189,365.90)	Accepted
2		Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP Renewal FY2024	PH-PSH	Renewal	544.0	77.7	120.0	65%		\$ 264,910.00	\$ 25,624.40	\$ (239,285.60)	\$ (239,285.60)	Accepted
2	15	Safe Alternatives for Abused Families	SAAF Campus	Joint TH/RRH	DV Bonus	861.0	107.6	115.0	94%	\$250,000.00	\$ -	\$ 351,046.00	\$ 351,046.00	\$ 351,046.00	Accepted
2	16	United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First	PH-RRH	Bonus/Reallocation	598.0	99.7	115.0	87%	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	Accepted
2	17	Fargo Housing & Redevelopment Authority	RASHP - Expansion	PH-PSH	Bonus/Reallocation	662.0	94.6	115.0	82%	\$150,000.00	\$ -	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00	Accepted
2	18	Missouri Slope Areawide United Way	Center for Opportunity	PH-RRH	Bonus/Reallocation	617.0	88.1	115.0	77%	\$200,000.00	\$ -	\$ 106,402.50	\$ 106,402.50	\$ 106,402.50	Accepted
N/A	N/A	Institute for Community Alliances	ND Renewal YHDP Project Application FY2023	HMIS	YHDP	0	0.0	0.0	0%	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
N/A	N/A	Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Joint TH-RRH	Joint TH/RRH	YHDP	786.5	98.3	122.0	81%	\$289,224.00	\$ 289,224.00	\$ 289,224.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
N/A	N/A	Fraser, Ltd.	Rapid Re-Housing	Joint TH/RRH	YHDP	791.0	98.9	122.0	81%	\$132,498.00	\$ 132,498.00	\$ -	\$ (132,498.00)	\$ (132,498.00)	Rejected
N/A	N/A	Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Navigation and SSO	SSO	YHDP	748.0	93.5	119.0	79%	\$100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
N/A	N/A	Pathfinder Services of ND, LLC	Pathways to Success	SSO	YHDP	725.0	90.6	119.0	76%	\$201,277.00	\$ 201,277.00	\$ 201,277.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
N/A	N/A	Grand Forks Housing Authority	Region 4 System Navigation	SSO	YHDP	587.0	73.4	119.0	62%	\$201,278.00	\$ 201,278.00	\$ 201,278.00	\$ -	\$ -	Accepted
N/A	N/A	Mountain-Plains Youth Services Coalition	Youthworks YHDP Fargo TH RRH 2024	Joint TH/RRH	YHDP Reallocation	737.0	105.3	115.0	92%	\$132,498.00	\$ -	\$ 132,498.00	\$ 132,498.00	\$ 132,498.00	Accepted

Annual Renewal Demand	\$	3,272,062.00	
Tier 1	\$	2,068,006.50	
YHDP Renewals	\$	974,277.00	
CoC Bonus	\$	392,647.00	
DV Bonus	\$	351,046.00	
Total	\$	3,785,976.50	
Planning	\$	163,603.00	
Total	\$	3,949,579.50	
Requested	\$	3,886,026.00	
Difference	\$	(100,049.50)	
Recommended Funding	\$	3,785,976.50	\$ -
Tier 1	\$	2,068,006.50	
Difference	\$	-	

CoC Renewals	\$ 1,557,188.00	
DV Renewal	\$ 547,063.00	
Bonus/Reallocation	\$ 356,402.50	\$ -
DV Bonus	\$ 351,046.00	\$ -
YHDP Renewals	\$ 841,779.00	
YHDP Reallocation	\$ 132,498.00	
Planning	\$ 163,603.00	
Total	\$ 3,949,579.50	

	Burleigh County Housing Authority	BCHA Shelter Plus Care Vouchers 2023	PH-PSH	Renewal			120.0	0%	\$193,534.00	\$ 193,534.00		\$ (193,534.00)	\$ (193,534.00)	Didn't apply
	Ministry on the Margins	MOTM CoC Supportive Services	SSO	Bonus/Reallocation			115.0	0%	\$ 250,000.00	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	Didn't apply
	Pathfinder Services of ND	Homeless Connection	SSO	Bonus/Reallocation			115.0	0%	\$ 72,030.00	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	Didn't apply
	Women's Action Resource Center	2024 Beulah Transitional-ReHousing Project	Joint TH/RRH	Bonus/Reallocation			115.0	0%	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	Didn't apply
	United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First	SSO	Bonus/Reallocation	696.0	99.4	115.0	86%	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Rejected
	Blessed Builders	Blessed Builders Sober Living	PH-RRH	Bonus/Reallocation	230.0	32.9	115.0	29%	\$650,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Rejected
	Amachi Mentoring	Homeless Shelter	Joint TH/RRH	Bonus/Reallocation	785.0	98.1	115.0	85%	\$185,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Rejected
	Abused Adult Resource Center	Hope Stability Project	PH-RRH	DV Renewal	818.0	90.9	122.0	74%	\$ 61,209.00	\$ 61,209.00	\$ 61,209.00	\$ -	\$ -	Consolidated w/ RRH